

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

From: Bill Leonard, CCC representative

Subject: Meta-metadata elements in RDA

CCC thanks the working group for this investigation of the issues surrounding meta-metadata discussed by JSC most recently in 2013 in [6JSC/Chair/9/](#). CCC is in agreement with the recommendations with a minor change.

Recommendation 1

CCC agrees with the rewording of definitions in the summary of changes, with one exception. The current definition of “cataloguer’s note” is one sentence that mixes a definition and the application of the element. It appears to be JSC’s intention to split definitions such as these into two. Doing that would result in a very weak first sentence, so we are suggesting the following. The value of retaining the second sentence within the definition is debatable.

Currently:

"A [cataloguer's note](#) ▼ is an annotation that might be helpful to those using or revising the authorized access point representing a person, family, or corporate body, or creating an authorized access point representing a related person, family, or corporate body."

CCC proposes:

"A [cataloguer's note](#) ▼ is an annotation that clarifies and justifies the selection and notation of information recorded in a description. The note that might be helpful to those using or revising the an authorized access point representing a person, family, or corporate body, or creating an authorized access point representing a related person, family, or corporate body."

Recommendation 2,3,4,5

CCC agrees with these recommendations.

Recommendation 6

CCC agrees with placing the meta-elements into their own registry.